

**Issues Requiring DOE Action:****1. Performance-based Security Orders**

- A move from compliance-based directives and assessments to a performance-based process would permit the development and implementation of more effective site-specific plans and procedures, subject to NNSA approval and audit.

**2. Security Clearances**

- Both Lab Directors and Aegis Research support the need to return to an all “Q” security environment
- This will require consistent funding to support sufficient number of clearances, including re-investigations
- More timely investigations of pending clearances with derogatory information is needed
- Parallel processing should be authorized when it is clear that an individual will require a “Q” clearance, PSAP certification and polygraph

**3. Unclassified, Sensitive Information**

- Both Labs and Aegis agree that the definition of SUI requires clarification relative to SUTI, UCNI and OUO

**4. Threat Information**

- Both Labs and Aegis agree that the capability to generate site-specific threat assessments is needed
- New cyber threat statement needed

**5. Security Funding**

- Both Labs and Aegis agree that funding is inadequate for new program or security requirements
- Lab security management needs the flexibility to support changing programmatic requirements and rebalance changing security priorities
- Authorization is needed to allow cost recharge to program customers

**6. Design Basis Threat**

- Revision of the DBT is needed to address current threats, target attractiveness and probability of occurrence

**7. Human Reliability**

- PAP/PSAP merger needs to be completed
- Interchangeability between sites is needed

**8. Zero Tolerance Policy**

- DOE policy as written has a negative effect on attracting and retaining S&T staff
- A graded approach for security infractions would be more constructive and consistent with the principles of ISSM

